1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAMUEL VAN NORTON CHAPMAN, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0064 LKK DAD P 12 VS. 13 DONALD C. BYRD, et al., 14 Defendants. **ORDER** 15 16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action 17 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 18 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On June 20, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections 21 to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff has not filed 22 objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be 24 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. 25 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and 26 recommendations was returned to the court marked "Paroled," plaintiff was properly served. It

## Case 2:05-cv-00064-LKK-DAD Document 15 Filed 08/23/06 Page 2 of 2

is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 20, 2006, are adopted in full; and 2. This action is dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. DATED: August 23, 2006 Lew more K Kens UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE /chap0064.802